

Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop. Vol. (^{WY}) No. ^W pp ^o t ^V_o ^N, V.) Y

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

GROWTH AND YIELD COMPONENTS VARIATION OF TWO FABA BEAN (*VICIA FABA L.*) VARIETIES AS RESPONSE TO PLANTING DATES AND HILL SPACING

Ali. M. Alazaki and Y. A. A. Al-Shebani Dept. Agron. and Pastures, Fac. of Agric., Sana'a Univ., Yemen

Received 14 June 1.11 Accepted 14 July 1.11

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted at the Educational Farm, Fac. of Agric., Sana'a Univ., Republic of Yemen during study the effect of four planting dates (")th October, ")th November, 11^{th} December and 71^{th} December), two varieties of faba bean (Fleeb and Giza) and three hill spacing $(\Lambda, 1)$ and 1cm)as well as, their interaction on faba bean growth characters and yield components. The obtained results showed that planting dates and hill spacing affected. Dry weight/plant, number of leaves/plant, number of branches/plant, plant height, number of seeds/plant, pods weight /plant, straw weights/plant and seeds weight/plant in the two seasons. In generally, crop planted on ". October produced the highest values of all mentioned characters in both growing seasons. While hill spacing of \wedge . cm between hills produced tallest plants, maximum number of leaves and branches/plant, as well as, straw weight /plant in both seasons. Also, hill spacing of **\7.** cm between hills produced the highest dry weight, number of seeds/plant, pods weight /plant and seeds weight /plant in both seasons. Data indicated that faba bean varieties were differed in number of seeds/plant and dry weight/plant at first growth stage in both seasons, as well as, number of leaves/plant in first season, plant height, pods yield/plant and seeds yield/plant in second season. Results indicated also that the effect of interactions (dates of sowing x

varieties), (planting dates hill spacing) ,(varieties x hill spacing) and (dates of sowing x varieties x hill spacing) affected faba bean growth and yield components characters in both growing seasons. Concerning to the effect of (D x V x P) interaction, data showed that planting Giza var. on M-October under the lowest hill spacing (\land . cm), gave the tallest plants and the maximum values of straw yield/plant in the two seasons. While, planting Giza cv. on M-October under the highest plant spacing (N. cm) gave the maximum values of number of seeds/plant (M. cm) gave the maximum values of number of seeds/plant (M. cm) gave the tallest plant spacing (N. cm) gave the maximum values of number of seeds/plant (M. cm) gave the (M. cm) gave the tallest plant spacing (N. cm) gave the maximum values of number of seeds/plant (M. cm) gave the (M. cm) gave the tallest plant spacing (N. cm) gave the maximum values of number of seeds/plant (M. cm) gave the (M. cm) gave the tallest plant spacing (M. cm) gave the maximum values of number of seeds/plant (M. cm) gave the tallest plant (M. cm) gave the tallest plant (M. cm) gave the tallest plant (M. cm) gave the maximum values of number of seeds/plant (M. cm), pods weight / plant (M. cm) gm) in the two seasons, respectively.

INTRODUCTION

Faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*) is the fourth most important pulse crop in the world. It occupies the greatest area planted to legume crops in the Arab countries (Amin, 19AA). Faba bean is a valuable food legume rich in proteins and carbohydrate (Karamanos *et al.*, 1995). Nutritionally, faba bean seeds contains between $7\xi - 77$? proteins (Li-Juan *et al.*, 1997). On the other hand, legumes add about $7 \cdot - 17 \cdot \text{Kg}$ N ha⁻¹ to soil each year, while in fields nitrogen accumulation in soil each year reached up to $7 \cdot - \xi \cdot \text{Kg N ha}^{-1}$ year⁻¹ mainly come from atmospheric nitrogen .

In Yemen faba bean is primarily consumed as dry seed, secondarily used as fresh pods. During $\forall \cdot \prime \cdot$ the total production of dry seeds of faba bean averaged $\forall \cdot \prime \cdot \forall$ ton, cultivated on area of $\cdot \forall \cdot \cdot \forall$ hectares, also, the average seed yield was $\cdot \cdot \forall \cdot \forall$ tons ha^{-'} (Yemen Agricultural Statistical Year book $\forall \cdot \cdot \prime \cdot$). On the other hand, the local production is not sufficient for the consumption. Therefore, efforts should be made for boosting faba bean productivity.

In this respect, there are many effective tools, including both planting date and hill spacing, as well as, new varieties. In general, early sowing faba bean resulted in seed yield increases. Moreover, planting dates is an important factor which significantly affects the timing and duration of the vegetative and reproductive stages of faba bean as well as yield components (Refay, $\gamma \cdots \gamma$ and Turk and Tawaha, $\gamma \cdots \gamma$). Krarup ($\gamma q \Lambda \epsilon$) from Chile reported higher yields from legumes sown from mid-August to mid-September than from later sowings.

The experience of farmers vary considerably with regards to cultural treatment of faba bean such as sides of ridges, number of broad bean rows per ridge, number of plants per hill and distance between hills. With this respect, plant spacing, also, plays an important factor affecting on faba bean growth, development and yield. Therefore, yield response of seed legumes to plant spacing were discussed by several workers such as McEwen *et al.*, (1944; Martin *et al.*, (1945); Noffsinger and Santen, (1990); Tawaha and Turk, ($7 \cdot 1^a$). However, insignificant effect of plant density was detected on plant height (Shahein *et al.*, 1949), on number of branches (Shafik *et al.*, 1944) and Shafik *et al.*, 1944). In addition, Hussein *et al.* (1994); Mokhtar ($7 \cdot 1$); El-Metwally *et al.*, ($7 \cdot 7$) and Kakiuchi and Kobata ($7 \cdot 2$) reported that increasing plant density negatively affected numbers of branches and pods/plant.

Consequently, the present investigation aimed to study the response growth and yield components of two faba bean varieties to various planting dates under different plant spacing.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Tow field experiments were conducted during $\forall \cdot \cdot \uparrow$ and $\forall \cdot \cdot \cdot$ seasons at the Educational farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Sana'a University. The aim of the work to study the effect of four planting date ($\forall \cdot$ -Oct., $\forall \cdot$ -Nov., $\cdot \cdot$ -Dec.and $\forall \cdot$ -Dec.) applied to main plots, two faba bean varieties (Fleeb and Giza) assigned to sub-plots and three hill spacing (\land , $\cdot \cdot$ and $\cdot \neg$ cm resulting in a population density of $\forall \lor \land \lor \lor$, $\forall \uparrow \land \lor \lor$ plants per hectare, respectively) were assigned in the sub-subplots, on some growth and yield components characters of faba bean crop (*Vicia faba* L.). The interaction between all factors under study were studied. A split-split-plot experimental design with four replications was used. The experimental unit was $\forall \lor \circ m$ containing \circ rows (\circ m long and $\forall \cdot$ cm apart). Nitrogen fertilization was added at one equal dose in the form of urea ($\leq \forall \land$ N) at rate of $\leq \cdot$ kg N/ha, was applied before the first irrigation. Also, in both seasons, phosphorus and potassium fertilizers were applied at the

0 £ 0

each plot in one dose at sowing, in form of single super phosphate (Λ , $P_{\tau}O_{\circ}$) and sulphate of potash ($\circ \cdot$, $K_{\tau}O$), respectively. Hoeing was done twice to keep the crop free of weeds.

Studied characters were: plant dry weight (g), number of leaves per plant, number of branches per plant, plant height (cm), number of seeds / plant, pods yield / plant (g), straw weight plant (g) and seeds / weight plant (g). The above mentioned parameters were calculated by the following:

Plant height (cm): Data on plant height were taken from base to top of the plant for \cdot selected plants form each sub-subplot in all the treatments and then average height was calculated out.

Number of leaves, branches and seeds per plant: Ten plants were selected from each treatment and their numbers of leaves, branches and number of seeds per plant were counted after threshing and then average was worked out.

Straw, pods and seeds weight plant (g): Ten plants were selected form each treatment and the weight of straw, pods and seeds per plant were determine and then average was worked out. Also, ten plants were selected from each treatment and the number of seeds in each plants were separated and then average was worked out.

Statistical analyses: The MSTAT-C program was used for statistical analyses. Data for each season were analyzed for a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with split-split-plot arrangement according to procedure outlined by Steel and Torrie (19A+). Comparisons between means were made using least significant differences (LSD) at \cdot . \circ probability level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of planting dates:

Plant growth characters (plant dry weight, plant height, number of leaves and branches per plant) and yield components traits (number of seeds/plant, pods weight/plant, straw weight/plant and seeds weight /plant) of faba bean plants were influenced significantly by planting dates in two growing seasons, except plant height in second season and pods weight plant in first season (Tables 1 and 7). In both

growing seasons, there were insignificant effects between ^{Y1}-Nov. and *W*-Dec (as planting dates) on plant growth and yield components characters. On the other hand, early planting ($^{\circ}$)-Oct.) resulted in the production of more plant dry weight at all growth stages, number of leaves/plant, number of branches/plant and plant height, this was similar in the two seasons. In the same trend, the results also, showed that early date of planting in late October (n -Oct.) caused an increase in each of number of seeds/plant, pods weight /plant, straw weight /plant and seeds weight /plant in the two seasons. The maximum growth and yield components of plant characters under study were: $\xi \gamma \cdot \xi$ and $\xi \gamma \cdot \nabla \nabla \gamma$ g/plant for plant dry weight at last growth stage, 11.15 and 15.15 for number of leaves/plant, 5.17 and 5.14 for number of branches/plant, $\forall 1.95$ and 1...1 cm for plant height, 15.0 and $\gamma \sqrt{1}$ for number of seeds/plant, $\gamma \sqrt{1}$ and $\gamma \sqrt{1}$. A g/plant for pods weight /plant, Y. T and Y. T g/ plant for straw weight /plant and $\gamma \cdot \circ \gamma$ and $\gamma \cdot \gamma \cdot gm/$ plant for seeds weight /plant in first and second seasons, respectively. These results were obtained by planting faba bean plants on \mathcal{T} -October, which was found superior in comparison to other three planting dates (γ -Nov, γ -Dec and γ -Dec) in both growing seasons as shown in (Tables \uparrow and \uparrow). Also, plant dry weight at last growth stage reduced by 11..., TV.T. and T9.01% in T...9 season and 17.07, 17.71 and 27.7.% in 1.1.1.1 season, with dates of sowing on ¹-Nov, ¹-Dec. and ⁿ-Dec., respectively in comparison to the earlier planting date ($^{\circ}$)-Oct). Accordingly, results clearly indicated that number of leaves per plant significantly reduced by, 14.27, $77.1 \cdot$ and 77.70% in $7 \cdot \cdot 9$ season, being $7.7 \cdot , 9.07$ and 17.5.% in first season, when applied dates of sowing on 11-Nov, 11-Dec. and γ -Dec., respectively in comparison to the planting date on "-Octber. While, planting faba bean on "-Nov, "-Dec. and "-Dec. reduced number of branches per plant in comparison to the planting on *T*1-Oct by 1.9., 19.75 and *TT.*19%, respectively, in first season. The corresponding reductions in seconds season were \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W} $\gamma \Lambda$, Λq and $\gamma \gamma$, $\gamma \gamma \eta$, respectively for three respective planting dates. Data in Table (γ) indicated that plant height reductions were of γ . Λ^{9} , 1.7" and 1".59 % when sowing faba bean on 71-Nov, 11-Dec. and

0 £ V

r)-Dec., respectively, compared to the earlier planting date (r)-Oct.) in $7 \cdot 9$ season, but in $7 \cdot 1 \cdot$ season were $7 \cdot 1 \cdot 5 \cdot 9$ and $79.7 \cdot 70.07$ and $79.7 \cdot 70.07$ respective of three planting dates, respectively. While in $7 \cdot \cdot 9$ season, the second planting date (γ -Nov.), third planting date (γ -Dec.) and fourth (n -Dec.) planting date contributed reduction of number of seeds/plant by Λ . $\xi\Lambda$, $\chi\xi$. π° and π° . $\eta\%$, respectively compared to the first planting date (γ)-Oct.), this reduction in seeds yield/plant were 1.99, 11.79 and 7.77% in 1.10 season for the three respective planting dates respectively (Table γ). Whereas, results in Table (γ) recorded that planting faba bean on YI-Nov., II-Dec. and TI-Dec., resulted in reduction of pods yield/plant by $\forall .. \forall, \forall \xi. \forall \circ$ and $\forall \circ. \forall \forall \%$ respectively compared with the earlier planting date (n)-Oct.) in n . season. The corresponding reduction in $7 \cdot 1 \cdot$ season were 7.71, 77.77and $\gamma_{0,\gamma_{0}}$ in $\gamma_{0,\gamma_{0}}$ is season for the three respective planting dates. With respect to straw weight /plant, reductions of 14.47, 77.4 and ξ m. Λ m m. η season and of $11, \dots, \eta, \xi$ and $\pi \xi, \Lambda$ m second season were recorded, when planting faba bean on *Y*-Nov, *Y*-Dec. and \mathcal{T} -Dec., respectively, comparing to planting on \mathcal{T} -October.

The present results showed that planting faba bean on \uparrow -Nov, 1)-Dec. and \neg)-Dec.caused an obvious reduction in seed yield/plant by \neg . \neg . \neg , \uparrow . \neg \neg , \neg . \neg \neg % in first season and by \neg . \neg . \neg , \neg . \neg \neg and \neg \neg . \neg \neg %, respectively in second season comparing to planting faba bean plants on \neg)-October (Table \uparrow). This means that, the delay in faba bean sowing date greatly reduced its growth characters and yield components.

In addition, the reduction in plant height and other growth characters with delay in planting time might be due to the fact that planting faba bean plants during the month of October was more conducive for plant growth and development, because the early planted crop may have more time for absorbing nutrients from the soil, attaining proper vegetative growth, efficient light utilization and development of more photosynthates than late planted crop (Bae *et al.*, $19A\circ$). Whereas, Abuldahab *et al.*, $(7 \cdot \cdot 7)$ and Grenz *et al.*, $(7 \cdot \cdot \circ)$ mentioned that planting faba bean crop over mid November resulted in steadily reduction in growth, yield and yield components. In this

respect, the reduction in plant growth characters and yield components due to delay in planting could be attributed, among other factors, to shorter growth period at the disposal of the late sown crop as the time taken by the crop to mature decreased with delay in sowing. Accordingly, Tawaha and Turk $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \uparrow b)$ indicated that shorter growing period might result in less dry matter accumulated and fewer pods and branches per plant, which reduced seed yield. However, Shad et al. $(\mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y})$ found that decrease in seeds per pod with delayed sowing may be due to shorter seeds filling duration and poor pod formation in late sowing. Talal Thalji, $(7 \cdot \cdot 7)$ reported that early planting date in late November resulted in a significant increase in vegetative growth (plant height, root dry weight, nodules number, nodules dry weight, production more pods per plant). In the same trend, Munir and Abdel-Rahman $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \uparrow)$ reported that high number of primary branches per plant, plant height and yield components such as seed weight per plant, seeds pod, pods per plant was influenced significantly by planting date. Similar results were obtained by Refay, $\gamma \cdots \gamma$; Abuldahab, *et al.*, $({}^{\prime} \cdot \cdot {}^{\prime})$; Abou-Taleb $({}^{\prime} \cdot \cdot {}^{\prime})$; Hussein *et al.*, $({}^{\prime} \cdot \cdot {}^{\prime})$; Turk and Tawaha, $\gamma \cdot \cdot \gamma$ and Mohamed, $(\gamma \cdot \cdot \gamma)$ who reported that sowing faba bean at late October and early November resulted in significant increase in vegetative growth and produced more pods per plant, consequently increased yield and quality of seed.

Effect of varieties:

Results in table (1) clearly indicated that varieties of faba bean had a significant effect on plant dry weight only at the first growth stages in both seasons. Regarding to effect of varieties on yield components traits under study, there were significant variations among the faba bean varieties with respect to plant height, pods weight /plant and seeds weight /plant only in $^{7} \cdot ^{1} \cdot$ season and number of seeds/plant in both growing seasons (Tables 7). In contrast, data revealed insignificant differences regarding plant height, seeds and pods weight per plant in $^{7} \cdot ^{9} - ^{7} \cdot ^{1} \cdot$ season as well as on straw weight at two growing seasons (Tables 7). Also, results of the effects of varieties on number of branches/plant in both growing seasons and number of green leaves per plant in second season were statistically

0£9

insignificant (Table ¹). Additionally, the Giza faba bean variety had the highest values of plant height, number of seeds/plant, straw, seeds and pods yield per plant, in comparison with the Fleeb variety. On the other hand, the Giza faba bean variety produced the taller plants which were ¹.⁴^r cm and ^{$\land \circ$.⁷^{ξ} cm, in first and second seasons, respectively. Similarly, the maximum number of seeds/plant (^{$\uparrow \xi$}.¹^{$\land \circ$} and ^{$\uparrow 1$.⁴^{$\uparrow g$} gm) were recorded in the first and second seasons, respectively. However the highest straw weight per plant was ^{$\uparrow 1$.⁴^{$\land g$} gm and highest seeds yield per plant was ^{$\uparrow 1$.⁴^{$\lor g$} gm in the second season through using Giza var. (Table ^{$\uparrow 1$}). With respect to the highest straw and seed weight g/plant (^{$\uparrow 1$.⁴^{$\lor g$} g and ^{$\uparrow 1$.⁴^{$\lor g$} g) respectively were obtained in the first season from planting faba bean plants by using Fleeb variety (Table ^{$\uparrow 1$}).}}}}}}

Table ': Effect of planting dates (D), varieties (V) and hill spacing (P) on plant dry weight (g), number of leaves/plant and number of branches/plant of faba bean in (...)and (...) seasons

		Growth s	stages (da	ays afte	r plantin	g)		Chara	cters			
Treatments	٦٥	٩٥	17.	70	90	17.	Num leaves	ber of s/plant	Number of branches/ plant			
	۲	۹_۲۰۱۱ s	eason	۲۰۱	•-*•11 s	season	79 <u>-</u> 7.1.	7 • 1 • <u>-</u> 7 • 1 1	7 - 7.1.	7.1. - 7.11		
Effect of planting dates (D)												
۳۱-Oct.	7.70	۲٤.۰٥	٤٢.٠٩	0.71	۲٥.٣٦	57.77	٦٨ ١٤	٦٤.٧٤	٤.٧٣	٤ ٨٨		
۲۱-Nov.	٤٨١	10.77	۳۷.٤۳	٤.٧٨	15.15	۳۳.۱۲	00.01	٦٢.٩٩	5.75	٤.٠٥		
11-Dec.	٤٧١	٦.٩٨	۳۰.٦٠	7.17	10.79	۳۳ <u>۲</u> ۳	27.77	01.00	۳.۸۲	٣. ٤٧		
۳۱-Dec.	٤.٢٧	15.10	۲٩٫٦٧	٤٢٠	15.21	75.70	۳۱.0۸	02.17	٣.١٦	۳.۳۱		
L.S.D. at م٪	١.٧٣	٣.٣٦	۲ _. ٦١	• . ٨0	٣.٦٤	٤.00	۲٫٦٢	٦.٨٥	•	•.٣٦		
			Ε	ffect of	varieties	(V)						
Fleeb	०.११	١٤٠٨٣	۳۳_۷۳	٤٩٨	14.4.	٣٢.٤٤	٤٦ _. • ٤	٥٧.٧٦	۳.90	۳٫۸٦		
Giza	٤٠٧٦	15.95	٣٦١٦	۳.۹۷	17.77	٣٤.٢٥	٥٤.٧٥	٦٢.٤٤	5.77	٣.٩٩		
L.S.D. at •½	۰.٤٨	N.S	N.S	•.٧0	N.S	N.S	٥.٨٩	NS	N.S	NS		
			Eff	ect of h	ill spacir	ıg (P)						
^ cm	٤,٩١	15.58	89.0V	٤٣٣	17.75	۳. ٤.	٥٨ ٧٩	75,79	٤.0٨	٤٠٩		
11 cm	٤.0٨	15.57	۳۲.۳۷	٤٤٠	14.20	٣٤.٢٩	£9.£9	٥٨.٤٨	٤.٠٨	۳.۸۸		
۱۶ cm	0.98	10.14	٤٢.٨٩	٤٧٠	14.72	۳0.٣٤	٤٢٩٠	٥٧.0٤	٣٦٠	۳.۸۱		
L.S.D. at •%	•_^٣	N.S	٦.٦٢	N.S	N.S	٣.٤٤	٦.00	0.72	٤.0٢	N.S		

N.S=Non-significant

The differences among the studied characters could be attributed to the definite genetically differences between the two varieties under study. Also, the differences between two faba bean varieties (Giza and Fleeb) may be due to the differences between in partitioning and migration of photosynthates among plant organs. Moreover, data obtained are in full agreement with those given by either Abdalla et al. $(\gamma \cdot \cdot \cdot)$ for number of seeds/plant or by Metwally *et al.*, $(\gamma \cdot \cdot \cdot)$; Abou-Taleb, $(7 \cdot \cdot 7)$ and Annicchiarico, $(7 \cdot \cdot 9)$ for pods and straw yield per plant. The same trend was recorded for seeds yield/plant by El-Murabaa *et al.* (19 V); Dawwam and Abdel–Aal (1991), Amer *et al.*, (1997); Khalil *et al.* (1997) and Mokhtar $(7 \cdot \cdot 1)$, as well as for number of leaves per plant by Ahmed and Abdelrhim $(\uparrow \cdot \uparrow \cdot)$ and Bakry *et al.* $(\uparrow \cdot \uparrow \uparrow)$. Also, for number of branches per plant by Bakry et al. $(\uparrow \cdot \uparrow \uparrow)$. Such varietals differences for plant heights were previously reported by Khalil *et al.* (1997) and Abdalla *et al.* $(7 \cdots)$. Whereas Ashmawy *et al.* (199A) found insignificant differences for this character.

Treatments	Plant (c	height m)	Number of seeds/plant		Pods yield g/plant		Straw yield g/plant		Seeds yield g/plant		
	۲٩	1.1.	۲٩	1.1.	۲٩	1.1.	4	1.1.	۲٩	1.1.	
Effect of planting dates (D)											
۳۱-Oct.	٧٢٩٤	111	۲٤.۸۷	11.11	۲٥.۷۷	۳۸٫۳۳	۲۰ <u>۳</u> ٦	19.57	1.01	19.7	
۲۱-Nov.	٧١.٥٦	٧٦ ١٣	٢٧ ٢٢	٢٤ ٦٣	۲٤.٩٨	17.71	17.07	14.75	19.77	17.79	
۱۱-Dec.	۷۱.۷٥	٧٤٦	۳۱٫۳	21.44	19.07	14.27	10.77	14.00	10.98	10.5	
۳۱-Dec.	٦٣١	٧٠.٧٨	10.77	19.77	19.15	17.75	11.27	17.77	17.77	15.41	
L.S.D. at °%	٨.٧٦	N.S	۲.۲۱	٦.٠٩	N.S	٤.٤٢	۳.۳۲	۳.۸۷	٥.٤٧	۳.٦٧	
			Ef	fect of V	arieties (V)					
Fleeb	14.40	٥.٥	14.20	17.97	۲۰.۸۷	19.17	17.7٣	10.77	14.42	10.7	
Giza	٧١.٩٣	٨٥.٣٤	۲٤٧	19.01	٢٣٠٨٥	۲۱٬۹۱	10.00	14.17	17.97	11.11	
L.S.D. at °%	N.S	٩٦	۳.۰۰	۳.0۷	N.S	4.13	N.S	N.S	N.S	1.04	
			Effec	t of Plar	t spacin	g (P)					
^ cm	V1_V7	۸۳.٥٨	١٨ ٣٦	۲۱ ٤٣	19.95	19.09	14.77	11.77	10.72	15.49	
11 cm	19.17	٨٠.٢٢	۲۰.۲۷	17.1	21.22	۲۰.۲	10.01	17.07	17.00	17	
۱۶ cm	٦٨.٦٣	۷۷٫٤٦	75.79	۲۰.0۸	10.11	Y1.Y	١٣.٧	10.77	1.70	14.75	
L.S.D. at •%	N.S	۲.٤٧	٣.٤	۲.۷	٣.٣٤	1.95	۲.۸	N.S	7.77	1.71	

001

Effect of hill spacing:

Concerning the effect of the hill distances data in table (1)indicated that number of branches in first season and number of leaves per plant in both seasons were significantly affected by patterns distances between plant. Also, decreasing the distances between hills from 17. cm and 11. to 4. cm significantly increased number of branches and number of leaves/plant. However, the differences between last two hill spacing ($11. \cdot$ cm and $17. \cdot$ cm) was insignificant on all growth characters and yield components, except on number of leaves per plant in the second season. These results are in harmony with those obtained by El-Fieshawy and Fayed (199); Zeidan et al. (199); Selim and El-Seesy (199) and Amer *et al.* (199) who reported that the number of branches was significantly increased under the lower spacing between hills. On the contrary, Ibrahim and Esmail (1995) indicated that no significant differences were found in the number of branches per plant by raising plant densities from growth characters. On the other hand, it is quite clear from results in table (γ) that the tallest plant height of $\gamma \gamma \gamma^{\mu}$ and $\gamma \gamma \gamma^{\mu}$ in the two seasons, respectively were obtained in the treatment of \wedge . cm between plants, while the shortest plants of 14.77 and 14.57 cm in Y...9-Y.I. and Y.I.-Y.II seasons, respectively was obtained at 17... cm between plants. Shahein *et al.* (1990) reported that plant height was not affected by increasing plant density. These results are in concordance with the findings of Dantuma and Thompson $(19\Lambda T)$; Stringi et al. (1917); Abdel-Aziz et al., (1999); Al- Rifaee et al., $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \not \cdot)$; Abdel Latif, $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \land)$ and Mehdi *et al.*, $(\uparrow \cdot \land \cdot)$. for Plant height.

In this connection, data in table (1) indicated that number of branches per plant at \land . \cdot cm apart out-numbered that of 11 and 17 cm apart by 17.7° and 77.77%, respectively in 7.1°-7.11 season and by °.1 and 7.7°%, respectively in 7.1°-7.11 season. Similarly, number of leaves per plant at \land . \cdot cm apart out-numbered that of 11. \cdot and 17. \cdot cm apart by 1 \land .7° and 77.5% % respectively in 7.1° season and by 11. \cdot cm apart by 1 \land .7° mathematical formula for the formula formula formula formula for the formula for

season and $\cdot r.\cdot r$ and $r.\cdot r.\cdot r$ in $r.\cdot r$ season more yield than the distances of $\land \cdot$ and $r.\cdot r$, respectively. Such increase in number of branches and leaves/plant with decreasing plant spacing may be attributed to more competition among plant for light at dense population which reduce the metabolic processes formation and translocation of metabolites from source to sink. The present results were confirmed by finding of Abo-Shetaia (199.), El- Fieshawy and Fayed (199.), Zeidan *et al.*, (199.); Selim and El-Seessy (1991); Amer *et al.*, (1997); Singh *et al.*, (1997); Shahein *et al.*, (1990); Hassan *et al.*, (1997); Metwally (1997) and Abdel- Aziz and Shalaby (1999).

Results in Table () indicated that plant dry weight at the last stage (17. days after planting) in both seasons increased growth significantly with increasing plant spacing from \wedge . cm to \vee . cm between hills. This was true at all growth stages in $7 \cdot \cdot 9$ season and only, at last growth stage ($\gamma \cdot$ days after planting) in $\gamma \cdot \gamma \cdot$ season. It is quite clear from these results that the highest dry weight per plant was obtained, when faba bean planted under plant hill of 17. cm (Table)). These results could be explained on the bases of the reduction of plant competition, more interception of light energy per plant, higher light energy conversion of light energy to chemical energy with a balanced carbohydrate distribution in different plant parts. These results are in concordance with the findings of Lemerle et al., (\cdot, \cdot) ; Mathews et al., (\cdot, \cdot) and Shad et al., $((\cdot, \cdot))$ who showed that the dense plant population may cause more lodging, less light penetration in the crop canopy and reduced photosynthetic efficiency that resulted in low grain yield.

Different plant spacing applied in the two growing seasons had a significant effect on plant height, number of seeds/plant, pods yield/plant, straw yield/plant and seeds yield/plant, except, on plant height as well as on straw yield per plant in $\gamma \cdot \gamma q$ season (Tables γ). In most cases, differences between all plant spacing were significant on all traits in both seasons, except that either between $\wedge \cdot cm$ and $\gamma \cdot \cdot cm$ on number of seeds per plant, pods yield/plant, straw yield/plant and seeds yield/plant in first season or between last two plant spacing

00٣

(1). cm and 17. cm) on pods weight/plant and seeds yield/plant in the second season. Increasing plant density reduces light penetration between plants. As a result of plant competition for light, each individual plants tries to reach the proper light intensity by increasing its height. On the other hand, the highest number of seeds per plant of 15.49 and 10.04 in 1.9-1.16 and 1.1-1.11 seasons, respectively were obtained at planting distance of *\7...* cm between plants (Table ^{γ}). Accordingly, the highest pods weight/plant of $\gamma \circ \gamma$ and $\gamma \circ \gamma \circ$ as well as the highest seeds weight /plant of 7.7° and 17.7° gm in $\gamma \cdot \cdot \gamma$ and $\gamma \cdot \gamma \cdot$ seasons, respectively were obtained in the highest hill spacing (13. cm). But, the highest straw weight per plant, (14., 7 and 1^{1} (T)g) in 7^{1} and 7^{1} seasons, respectively were obtained in the lowest plant spacing ($^{\Lambda}$. cm). These increases in the number of seeds per plant, seeds and pods weight per plant with increasing hill spacing may be due to the decreased inter plant competition that leads to increased plant capacity for utilizing the environmental inputs in building great amount of metabolites to be used in developing new tissues and increasing its yield components. The results in table (7)revealed that the hill spacing of $^{\Lambda}$. cm caused excess plant height of • ". VY and • ξ . • 7% in Y • • 9 season and of • ξ . 19 and • V. 9 • % in Y • 1 • season more yield than the distances of 11. and 17. cm. respectively. On the other hand, hill spacing of $^{\Lambda}$. cm increased number of seeds per plant by $\gamma\gamma$. γ and γ ov? in γ or season and by 11.1V and 19. TV% in T. 1. T. 11 season more than the hill spacing of 11. and 17. cm, respectively (Table γ). Also, the hill spacing of 17. cm produced pods weight per plant of 7^{42} and 7^{42} with 7^{42} and 7^{42} with 7^{42} and $\gamma \cdot \gamma$ season as well as of $\gamma \cdot \gamma$ and $\gamma \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \gamma$ in $\gamma \cdot \gamma \cdot \gamma$ season more yield than the distances of \wedge . and \vee . cm, respectively. Moreover, hill spacing of \wedge ... cm apart significantly increased straw weight by Y. AA% and TI.97% more than the weight spacing of M... and 17..., respectively in 7..9 season, as well as of 1..0% and 7..7%in the second season over two respective hill spacing. With the same trend, the results in Table (γ) revealed that the hill spacing of γ . cm produced seeds weight per plant of TT.AV and TT.TT% in T...9. season and of 1^{1} , 1^{1} and 1^{1} , 1^{2} in 1^{1} , 1^{1} season more yield

than the distances of \wedge . • and \vee . • cm, respectively. Results in Table (γ) , also, showed that number of seeds/plant, pods and seed weight per plant was increased with increase in hill spacing which due to a better plant establishment and therefore a higher number of pods produced. It is well known that plant growth and yield characters were influenced by among and between plants competition for water, nutrients, and light. Also, these increase in the number of seeds per plant, seeds and pods weight per plant with increasing hill spacing may be due to increase in the number of pods per node as the result of higher net assimilation rates and reduction of competition in wider spacing. Also, this result might be due to the fact that widely spaced plants suffer less from competition than closely spaced plants and thus were expected to grow and yield better. These results support the findings of Al-Rifaee (1999); Munir and Abdel-Rahman ($7 \cdot \cdot 7$); Abdel Latif, $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \land)$; Mehdi *et al.*, $(\uparrow \cdot \uparrow \cdot)$ and Bakry *et al.* $(\uparrow \cdot \uparrow \uparrow)$ who found that seed yield per plant and pods yield plant were significantly increased by decreasing plant densities per unit area.

Effect of interactions:

It is quite clear from these results that planting dates \times faba bean varieties (D x V) interaction effect was significant on both number of branches and number of leaves per plant in Y. 9-Y. 1. and Y. 1. (ξ, Λ) seasons, where the highest number of branches per plant (ξ, Λ and \circ .) as well as number of leaves per plant (19.5 and $17.\circ$) in Table ($^{\circ}$) also, indicated that the interaction between planting dates and varieties (D x V) had significant effect on dry matter accumulation per plant. This was similar at all growth stages in both growing seasons, where planted Giza variety produced the highest dry matter per plant at γ^{nd} and γ^{rd} growth stages (after 9° and γ^{γ} days from planting) in both growing seasons. However, the highest dry matter per plant at first growth stage was noticed, when Fleeb var. seeded on \mathcal{T} -October (after \mathcal{T}° days from planting) in both growing seasons. Plant dry weight per plant at three growth stages, number of branches and number of leaves per plant in both growing seasons, affected significantly by (D x P) interaction. Early sowing (on γ)-

000

Oct.) with the wide hill spacing $(17. \cdot \text{ cm})$ gave the highest plant dry weight per plant at three growth stages in both growing seasons (Table 7). However, the maximum number of branches and number of leaves per plant were resulted from sowing faba bean plants on 71-October under the narrowest hill spacing $(\Lambda. \cdot \text{ cm})$ in two growing seasons (Table 7).

Table ": Effect of interaction between $(D \times V)$, $(D \times P)$ and $(V \times P)$ on plant dry weight (g), number of leaves/plant and number of branches/plant of faba bean in (..., ..., ...) and (..., ...) seasons

		Gre	owth sta	ages (da	ays afte	r plant	ing)		Char	acters	
	Treatments		90	17.	٦٥	٩٥	17.	Numl	per of	Num	ber of
Trea	tments					•		leaves	/plant	branch	es/plant
		۲٩		eason	۲.۱.		season	79_	7.1	79_	7.1
-					Seuson			1.1.	1.11	1.1.	1.11
-	#		Eff	ect of in	nteracti	on bety	ween (D	$\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{V}$	4.5	4 M	4 4
	r)-Oct	۷ <u>.</u> ۱		111	1.0	10.1	17.1	11.1		Σ_Υ 4 Π	z_\ 2 ¥
Fleeb	11-Nov	2.7	10,1	111	ن. سر	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	11 <u>2</u>	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1.1	z_ (~~~~	ت_ ۱ س س
	11-Dec	2.1	۱ <u>۱</u>		1.2	,,,,					۱ <u>۱</u>
	TI-Dec	2.1	<u> </u>	12.1	2.0	11.1	12.7	12.7		1.1	1.1
	· · -Oct		12.1	zz_1	۵ <u>۱</u>	10.2	20,1	11.Z	10.0	2.1	ر ب س
Giza	11-NOV	2.V	10.1		ζ.• Υ Λ	11.1	11 <u>/</u>	0 (, Y	το _. γ	z . v	1.1
		~.\ ~ \	۷.• ۱۲۹	۱۱.1 ۳٤٦	۲.۸ ۳.۹	10.2	12.2	۳ <u>۸</u> ۳	00 X	۲.۰ ۳.۲	".` ~~~
		1.44		9 88	1.1	<u> </u>	4 7 7		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	1.2	1.1
L.5.1	J. at •7.	•. • •	<u>`.'</u> Ff	Cont of i	1.0	ion hot	<u>, , , ,</u>	$\mathbf{D} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{D}$	11.17	•. (0	•.12
			<u>E1</u>	lect of I	meraci	ion bet	ween (L	(XP)			
۳۱ <u>-</u> Oct	^ cm	۲.۲	۲١,٩	۳0.٦	۰.۸	۲٤.٢	۳۸ ۲	۷۹٫۳	۷٠.٦	٥.٧	۰.۲
	11 cm	۰.۰	۲٤.٠	٣٤ ١	۰.۷	٢٥.٣	٤٤.١	70.1	٦٤.0	٤٧	٤٨
	۱۶ cm	٨.٠	٣٦٢٣	٥٦ _. ٦	٥٩	۲٦ _. ٦	٤٦.٠	09.7	09.1	۳.۸	٤٧
۲۱- Nov	^ cm	٤٢	١٦٩	۳۱٫٦	٤٦	١٣.٧	٥٣٣	٦٣٫٧	٦٩ ٩	٤٨	٤٣
	11 cm	٤.٠	15.9	٣٤.0	٤٧	١٣ ٨	۳۳ <u>٬</u> ۷	٥٧.٦	٥٩ ٤	٤٨	۳.٥
	۱۶ cm	٦٢	10.7	٤٦.٢	۰.۰	11.9	51.1	٤0 _. 0	٥٩.٧	٤٣	٤.٣
	^ cm	٤.٣	۲ _. ٦	۲۰٫۸	۳. •	10.1	۲٦ ۲	°°.'	٥٨.٧	٤٠٤	٣.٥
Dec	11 cm	٤٠٨	٦.٥	W1.V	۳.١	۱٦.٨	۳0.۲	٤٢.0	٥٨٩	۳.۷	٣.٦
Det	17 cm	۰.۰	٦.٨	٣٣.٣	٣٣	10.1	۳۸ ۲	٤١.٢	٥٨.١	٣.٤	٣.٣
٣١	^ cm	٤.٠	٤١١]	10.1	٣٩	١٣.٨	۲۳٫٦	۳۷.۲	٥٧٩	٣.٤	٣.٤
Dec	11 cm	٤.٥	٤ ١٣	۳۸٫۳	٤١	١٤.٧	10.1	۳۲.۰	01.7	٣٢	۳.۷
Det	17 cm	٤٤	١٤.٧	۳۰.0	٤.٦	10.7	٢٣٩	۲٥.٦	٥٣.٣	۳.۰	۲.٩
L.S.I). at °%	1.11	٤٧	15.5	• 17	۳.۲۳	٦.٨٨	1.1	١٠.٧	٧.٣٩	•.07
			Ef	fect of i	nteract	ion bet	ween (V	/ x P)			
	^ cm	٤٩	١٤.٠	۲۷.٤	٤٠٥	17.9	۲۷.٩	۰.۰	٥٩.٧	٤.0	٤.٠
Fleeb	11 cm	0.1	15.7	۳. ۷	۰. •	14.4	۳١٦	٤٨.٤	۰۰. ۱	۳.۸	۳.۸
	15 cm	٦,٢	17.7	٤٣.١	0.2	14.1	۳۷.۸	٣٩.V	٥٧.٣	٣٥	٣٨
Giza	^ cm	٤٩	12.9	T1.A	٤١	17.7	۳۲.٩	٦٧,٦	٦٧,٦	٤,٦	٤٢
	11 cm	٤.٠	15.7	٣٤ ١	۳.۸	14.0	۳۷.۰	0.0	٦٢.٠	٤٤	٤.٠
	۱۶ cm	°.V	10.7	٤٢.٧	٤.٠	17.7	۳۲ <u>۹</u>	٤٦.١	٥٧.٧	۳.۷	٣٨
L.S.I). at °%	1.14	۳.۳۲	9.77	۲. ۲	1.11	٤.٨٦	9.77	٧.0٦	•.00	• 97

007

Also, V x P interaction had considerable effect on plant dry weight per plant at the three growth stages, number of branches and number of leaves per plant in both growing seasons, where the maximum values of plant dry weight per plant at three growth stages in both growing seasons were obtained by planting Fleeb var. at 17.6cm between hills (Table 7). Also, the maximum values of number of branches and number of leaves per plant in both seasons were obtained by planting Giza var. at $^{\Lambda}.^{\bullet}$ cm between plants (Table 7).

Plant height, number of seeds/plant, pods yield g/plant, straw weight g /plant and seeds weight g/plant significantly affected by interactions between planting dates X varieties ($D \times V$), planting dates X hill spacing ($D \times P$), varieties X hill spacing ($V \times P$) and planting dates X varieties X hill spacing ($D \times V \times P$) in both seasons as shown in (Tables ξ, \circ and ζ).

When planting Giza var. of faba bean on ()-October gave the tallest faba bean plants ((). and (). cm), highest number of seeds/plant ((). and (). maximum pods weight /plant (). and (). and (). g) as well as highest seeds weight /plant (). and (). g) in (). and (). and (). g) in (). and (). g) as well as highest seeds weight /plant (). and (). g) in (). and (). g) in (). and (). g) as well as highest seeds weight /plant (). On the other hand, concerning the effect of (D x P) interaction on weight of plant traits, the maximum values of plant height (). and (). The maximum values of plant height (). and (). The maximum values of plant height (). and (). The maximum values of plant height () and (). The maximum values of plant height (). The maximum values of plant height () and (). The maximum values of plant height () and (). The maximum values of plant () and (). The ma

_ ° ° Y _

weight /plant (Υ , Υ and Υ , \mathfrak{s} , \mathfrak{g}) and seeds weight /plant (Υ , Υ and Υ , \mathfrak{s} gm) in Υ , \mathfrak{s} , \mathfrak{s} , \mathfrak{s} and Υ , \mathfrak{s} , \mathfrak{s} and Υ , \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{s} and

Table	٤:	Effect of interaction between $(D \times V)$, between $(D \times P)$
		and between (V x P) on faba bean yield components in
		$7 \cdot 9 - 7 \cdot 1 \cdot and 7 \cdot 1 \cdot - 7 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 seasons$

Tr	eatments	Pla heigh	ant t (cm)	Numl seeds/	ber of /plant	Pods v g/pl	weight lant	Stı wei g/pl	raw ght lant	Seeds g/pl	weight lant
		۲۰۰۹_	۲۰۱۰-	۲۰۰۹_	۲۰۱۰_	۲۰۰۹_	۲۰۱۰_	۲۰۰۹_	۲۰۱۰_	۲۰۰۹_	۲۰۱۰-
		1.1.	1.11	1.1.	1.11	1.1.	1.11	7.1.	1.11	1.1.	4.11
	#\ Oct	791	90 A	$\frac{1}{5}$	teraction	<u>1 betwee</u>	$\frac{\operatorname{en}\left(\mathbf{D}\times\mathbf{V}\right)}{5}$	<u>()</u>	14.7	7.7	11 0
leeb	Y I-Nov	77 7	77.1	177	17.4	170	77 9	17.	11 9	717	14.7
Jee	11-Dec	٧٠٤	191	19.7	107	171	10 2	107	101	101	177
ł	۳۱-Dec	75.77	٦٩.٠	119	110	19.1	10 2	11.2	11.7	151	179
	۳۱-Oct	٧٦٫٨	۱۰٤.	۳۸٫۳	٣٤.٣	٢٦.٥	۲٦٫٣	۲.۸۱	۲۰٫۱	۲. ۸	۲۰ _. ۹
Giza	۲۱-Nov	٧٥.٩	15.7	11.9	۳۱.0	٢٧.0	17.V	١٦.٠	17.0	14.7	15.5
9	۱۱-Dec	۲۳٫۲	A. 1	۲۲_۹	۲۸.	۲۲_۹	19.0	10.1	۲۰.۰	17.1	١٨.٦
	۳۱-Dec	71.9	۰.7۷	19.7	۲٥.٠	14.0	14.1	۱۱.٤	١٤.٠	14.4	18.9
L.\$	S.D. at •%	٩ _. ٦	۱۴ <u>٬</u> ۷ ۳	٦١	۲.1٤	٧.٨٨	٥.٠٦	۷.١	٤. ٢٩	°.•°	۳.10
			Ef	fect of in	teractio	n betwee	en (D x P)			
oct	^ cm	٧٤.٥	۱۰۳ <u>.</u> ۲	۲١٫٦	۲٦.٠	٧.77	۰.۲۲	٢٤.٠	۰.۱۲	14.0	۱۷٫٦
r-0-	۱۱ cm	٧٢.٠	٩٩.٠	۲۲.۷	۲٦.٤	۲۳۸	٥٣٣	۲۰.۰	١٩ ٤	19.7	19.0
	۲۱ cm	۳.۲۷	٩٨.٤	۳۰.۳	٣٠.٦	۳. ۸	٢٥.0	١٧	14.7	٢٤.0	۲۰.٩
	^ cm	۷۱.۰	۲. ۸	۲۰٫۱	٢٣.٤	۳.۲۲	٥.٢٢	۲۰٫٦	14.7	14.7	15.7
- 1- 707	۱۱ cm	۲۱٫۲	٧٦.0	۲۱.0	۲٤.۲	۲۳۸	۲۲٫٦	10.1	14.1	11.5	۲.۲
[۱۶ cm	۲۲.۰	V).V	۲٦.٧	۲٦٫٣	۲۸۸	۲٤٧	١٣٨	١٦.٤	۰.۳۳	٥.٧١
ည္က	^ cm	۷۳٫٦	٧٧	14.1	19.5	17.5	10.1	14.0	۱۸ ٦	١٤.٣	15.7
-D6	۱۱ cm	٧٠٩	٧٤٧	۲۲.۰	11.17	۱۹.۸	14.0	10.0	14.4	۱۰٫٦	۱۰.۰
-	۱۶ cm	٨٠	۲۲_۱	۲۳٫۸	۲۳۸	۳۲.۳	19.1	٥. ١٣	٦٦٫٣	۱۸.۰	٨.٢١
зa	^ cm	٦٧.٣	٧٤.٠	١٣.٧	۸.۲۱	۳.۸۱	10.0	۱۱٫۹	10.	۱۱٫۹	٨.٢٢
Ū-D	۱۱ cm	۲.۲۲	٧.,٧	10.	۱٩.٤	14.7	14.7	11.9	17.0	14.4	٥.٣١
*	۱۶ cm	٥٩.٤	٦٧ _. ٦	14.1	۲۱٫٦	۲۰ _. ۹	14.0	۱۰.٤	11.0	10.1	۳.٥١
L.\$	5.D. at °%	0.99	٤.•٤	0.00	٤.٤	٦.٦٨	۳.۸۹	०.७٨	۳.0۲	٤٠٤٤	۲ _. ٦٣
		FA (Ef	fect of in	teraction	<u>n betwee</u>	<u>en (V x P</u>)			
eb	^ cm	17.2	YA_1	10,1	11.1	17.*	14.	1	11.7	10.1	12.0
Fleeb) cm	14.1	vo.1	14.5	17.	17.5	14.4	17.4	10.1	14.4	10.1
	17 cm	11,7	V1.A	۰.۰	14.1	75.7	19.2	10.2	12.0	19.1	10.9
Giza	^ cm	٧٤.٠	٨٨.٥	۰.۱۲	11.1	۲۰.۸	۲۰٫۱	19.1	19.1	15.1	10.7
	11 cm	Y1.Y	٨٥.٤	77.7	19.1	17.0	۲١٦	15.7	17.7	10.7	17.9
	۱۶ cm	٧.٦	7.77	۲۹ ٣	۳۳_۱	7.77	۲٤.٠	17	10.9	۲۰.۷	19.5
L	S.D. at °%	0.11	5 29	٤٨	5 11	5. 77	Y V0	5 97	7 29	5 15	7.71

00

Data in Table (°) showed that interactions between (D x V x P) had significant effect on plant dry weight per plant at three growth stages, number of branches and number of leaves per plant in both seasons. Planting Fleeb var. on r -October under widest hills spacing (r. cm.) gave the highest plant dry weight at three growth stages in both seasons.

Table •: Effect of interactions between planting date, varieties and hill spacing (D x V x P) on plant dry weight (g), number of leaves/plant and number of branches/plant of faba bean in ⁷ · · ⁹ - ⁷ · ¹ · and ⁷ · ¹ · -⁷ · ¹ · ¹ seasons

			Gre	owth sta	ages (da	ays afte	er plant	ing)	Characters				
Т	reat	ments	٦٥	٩٥	14.	٦٥	٩٥	14.	Num of lea pla	Number of leaves/ of plant		Number of branches/ plant	
			۲۹_۲.۱.			۲		• •	۲۹_	1.1.	4	۲۰۱۰_	
			season				season	l	۲.۱.		- 	2.11	
	b	^ cm	٦١	۱۸٫۹	۳۱٫۱	٦,٦	٢٤.0	٣٢٩	٦٧,٣	٦٧.٧	۰.۷	۳.٥	
t	ee	۱۱ cm	٥.٩	٩٣٩	۳۱٫۱	٦_١	٢٤.٩	۳٩.٠	٦٨,٨	21.7	٤٠٤	٤٠٤	
õ	H	۲۲ cm	٩.٥	۲۸٦	٥٧.٥	٦.٧	۲٦.٨	٤٩.٢	٦٤.٦	٦٠_١	٣٩	٤.٢	
-	a	^ cm	٨٣	۲٤٨	٤٠١	۰.۱	٩٣٩	٤٣٦	٩١٢	٥٣٣	٥.٧	۲_0	
-	Giz	۱۱ cm	٤٢	٢٤.٢	۳۷.۰	٥.٣	٢٥.٦	٤٧.٠	٦٢.٨	٦٧٨	0.1	0.1	
	0	۲۲ cm	٦.٦	٢٣٩	۰۰.۷	٥.٢	۲٦.٤	٤0.1	٥٤.٠	٥٨.٢	٣٦	٢_0	
	q	^ cm	٤.٩	19.7	۲٩.٦	٤.٦	15.5	۳۰.۳	٥٧.٨	14.4	٤.٥	٤.٥	
>	lee	۱۱ cm	٤.٦	151	٣٤.٦	۰.٥	18.1	۳۰.۰	٦١.٨	٥٦.٠	°.•	٣٠٥	
°2	H	۲۲ cm	°.ź	١٣.٣	٤٤٠٣	٦.٥	١٣.٠	٣٦٩	٤٣.٨	٥٧.٠	٤.٣	٤.0	
	a	^ cm	٣.٦	١٤ ٦	۳۳.۷	٤.٥	15.7	۳٦.٨	٦٩.٥	1.17	۲_٥	٤١	
-	jiz	۱۱ cm	٣. ٤	١٤.٧	٣٤٠٤	٤.٠	15.1	٣٧.٤	٥٣.٤	٦٢٨	٤.0	٣٦	
	0	cm ۲۱	٧.١	14.5	٤٨١	۳.٦	٩.١٠	۳۷٫۳	٤٧.٢	٦٢.٣	٤.٣	٤.٢	
	þ	^ cm	٣٩	٦٩	۲٦.٢	۲۹	١٤.٧	۲٦.١	٤٣.١	٤٨٠٣	٤.0	٣.٠	
ు	lee	۱۱ cm	٤٠٨	٦.٧	٢٣٠٤	۳.0	14.1	۳۲ _. ٦	٣٩٠٣	٥٦.٩	٣٣	٣.٥	
De	H	۲۲ cm	۰.٦	۲.۲	٤٢٦	٣٩	10.1	۳۷.0	۳۱٫۳	٦٠.٧	٣.٠	٣٠٥	
-	a	^ cm	٤.٦	٨٣	٥.0٢	۳.۱	10.7	۲٦.٤	٦٧.٠	٦٩.١	٤.٣	٤.٠	
-	jiz	۱۱ cm	٤٩	٦٣	۳۲.۰	۲.٧	17.0	۳۷٫۹	٤0.٨	٦٠.٨	٤.١	۳.٧	
	0	۲۲ cm	٤٠٤	٦.٥	۲٤.۰	۲.٧	15.7	۳۸۹	01.7	00.0	٣.٨	٣٢	
	q	^ cm	٤.٨	٩.١٠	۲۲.٦	٤.•	10.1	22.5	۳۱ <u>.</u> ۷	٦٠,٢	٣.٤	٣.٢	
ec	lee	11 cm	۳.٥	15.1	17.1	٤٩	10.7	٢٤.٩	14.1	٤٥.٨	۰.۲	۳.۸	
- D	F	۱۶ cm	٤.٢	10.9	۲۸٫۱	٤.٨	٩.٧١	٥.٧٢	۱٩.٠	٥١.٦	۲.۸	۲۹	
2	Z	^ cm	۳.۱	11.9	11.1	٣.٩	17.7	٢٤.٩	٤٢.٦	00.7	٣٣	٣٠٥	
	· · i	1) cm	٣٦	17.7	۳۳.۰	٣٣	15.7	107	٤٠.٢	०२ _. २	٣٨	٣٥	

009

۱۶ cm	٤٦	٥.٣٢	٤٢_٩	٤٠٤	17.7	۲۰ <u>٬</u> ۳	۳۲.۲	٥٥ _. .	۳.۱	۲۹
L.S.D. at •%	۲.۳٦	٦.٦٥	۱۸.۷٤	١.٢١	٤.0٦	٩.٧٣	0.7 £	10.1 m	14.8	•.٧٩

Ali. M. Alazaki and Y. A. A. Al-Shebani

~•			TT				1				1	
т	rootn	nonts	Plant l (cr	neight n)	Num seeds	Number of seeds/plant		Pods weight g/plant		raw ght lant	Seeds weight g/plant	
1	reath	ients	۲۹_ ۲.۱.	۲۰۱۰ - ۲۰۱۱	79 _ 7.1.	+ • • • • - + • • • •	79 - 7.1.	+ • • • • - + • • • •	79 - 7.1.	۲۰۱۰ - ۲۰۱۱	۲۰۰۹ - ۲۰۱۰	۲۰۱۰ <u>-</u> ۲۰۱۱
		^ cm	۷۱	۹٦ _. ٧ ٤	۱۹ _. ٦ •	۲۰٫۱	۲۳ <u>.</u> ۲	۲۰ _. ۰ ٦	۲۲ _. ۹ ۲	۲۰.۳ ٤	۱۷ _. ۰ ۹	10.20
	Fleeb	۱۱ cm	٦٧.٤١	۹٥ _. ٦ ٦	۱۹ <u>۶</u> ۸	۲۱٫۰	٤_۲۲ ۱	۲۰ <u>.</u> ۳ ۱	۲٤ ۲ ۸	۱۸ <u>۰</u> ۷ ۲	۲۰.۰	١٧.٠٩
)ct	H	cm در	٦٨.٧٥	۹٤ _. ۹ ۸	۲۰ _. ۲ ۳	۲۱ _. ۹ •	۲۹ <u>.</u> ۷ ۷	۲۳ <u>۰</u> ۷ ۱	۱۹ _. ۸ ٦	۸ _. ۲۱ ۳	۲۳ <u>.</u> ٤ ۱	۲۰.۰۸
		^ cm	٧٨	۱۰۹ _. ٦	۰_۳۲ ۸	۳۱٫۹	۳.۲۲ ۲	۲۰ _. . ۳	۲۰ <u>۱</u> ۲	۲۲ ۲	۱۷٫۹	۱۹٫۸۳
	Giza	۱۱ cm	۲٦ <u>.</u> ٦٦	۱۰۲ _. ۲	۲۰ <u>۸</u>	۳۱.۷	۲۰ <u>۲</u>	۲٦ _. ٧	۱۰ _. ۲ ٤	۲۰ <u>.</u> ۰ ۲	۱٩.٠ ٤	۲۰ _. ۹٦
		۰۲ cm	۷۰ <u>.</u> ۸۳	۱۰۱ <u>.</u> ۸	۳0 <u>،</u> ٤ 0	٣٩٠٣	۳۱ <u>٬</u> ۷ ۷	۲۷ <u>۱</u> ۹	۱٤ <u>۱</u> ۹	۱۷ _. 0 ۸	0 _. 07 ۸	۲۱.۷۹
	Fleeb	^ cm	٦٥ <u>.</u> ٨٣	۷٤ <u>۱</u> ٦	۰ ۱۰ _. ۰	۱٦ <u>،</u> ۱ ٥	۱۹ _. ۸ ۸	۲ ۲۲ ۷	۳.۳	۲_۲۱ ۲	۱۸ _. ۹ ٥	14.44
		۱۱ cm	٦٦٫٨٣	٦٧ _. • ٨	<u>יז</u> י.	۱۷ <u>۲</u>	۲۰ <u>۲</u> ۲	۲۲ <u>۰</u> ۷ ۸	۱٦ <u>۸</u>	۱۷ _. ۹ ۷	۲۰ _. ۹ ٦	14.59
lov		۲۰ cm	٦٩.١٢	۲۲ <u>۹</u> ۱	۱ ۱۲ ه	19.0	۲۷ <u>۳</u> ۳	۲۳۲ ۱	۱۰ <u>۹</u>	۱۷ _. ٦ ٥	۲۳_۷	14.72
N- 1 x		^ cm	۷۷ _. ۲٥	۲_۲_۲ ٤	۲۰ <u>۲</u>	۳. ۲	۲٤ <u>۸</u>	٤_۲۲ ۲	۸_۲۲ ۲	וא <u>י</u> ו ד	10.V V	11.7.
	Giza	۱۱ cm	۲۰ _. ۰۰	٨٥.٩	۲٦.٣	۳۰٫۸ ٥	۲۷ <u>۳</u> ۲	۳_۲۲ ه	۱۳ <u>.</u> ٤ ٤	۲ _. ۲ ۱	۰ ۱۰ _. ۷	15.79
		cm ۲۱	٧٤.٨٣	۸۰.٥	۲۲۲۲ ه	۳۲ <u>۹</u>	۳۰ <u>۲</u>	ז ַרז י	۱۱ <u>٦</u> ۹	10 <u>.</u> 7 1	۲ <u>۳</u> ۲ ۱	17.71
		^ cm	۷۱٫۸۰	٦٩ _. ٢ ٢	۱۰ <u>۳</u> ٤	١٤ <u>٧</u>	۱٤۲ ۲	۱٤ ₋ ۷ ۳	۱۷٫۹ ۸	וד <u>ו</u> א	۱۳ ₋ ۸ ٤	11.91
	Fleeb	۱۱ cm	٦٩ <u>.</u> ٣٥	۲۹ _. ۲ ۲	۲۰ <u>٦</u> ۸	10.2	10.0 9	וד <u>ו</u> ו	۱۳ <u>۸</u>	۱۰ <u>٬</u> ۷ ۸	۲.۹ ۲	17.29
11-Dec		۲۰ cm	٦٩ <u>.</u> ٨٥	٦٨ <u></u> ٩ ٧	۲۳.۰	۱٦ <u>.</u> ٥ •	۱۸ <u>۰</u> ۳	۱۰ <u>۳</u> ۱	۱٤ <u>۸</u>	۱۳۲	۱٦ <u>٥</u> ٦	17.7.
	_	^ cm	۷۰.۳۰	٨٤ ٨	۲۰ ۲ ۸	۲٤١	۱۸ <u></u> ٥ ۷	אדי א	۱۸ _. ۹ ٤	۲۱	۱٤ ₋ ٦ ٧	17.75
	Giza	۱۱ cm	۲۲.۳۰	۸۰.۱	۲_۳۲ ۸	۲۸ <u>٬</u> ۷	۲٤ <u>،</u> ۹	۱۸ <u>۸</u> ۸	וד <u>ו</u> ג	۱۹٫۸	۱٤ <u>،</u> ۱ ٥	١٧.٦٠
		۱۶ cm	٧١,٨٠	V0.Y	٢٤.٦	۳۱٫۱	17.1	177	17.1	19.7	19.7	۲۱.55

Table \exists : Effect of interactions between planting date, varieties and hill spacing (D x V x P) on yield components of faba bean in $\forall \cdot \cdot \neg \cdot \forall \cdot \lor \cdot \lor \cdot \lor \lor$ seasons

071

				۲	А	٥	•	٥	٧	٣	٦	
		^ cm	٦٩.٠٨	۷٤.٤ ۷	۱۰٫۷	۱۳.۰	۱۸ <u>۹</u> ٤	۱٤ _. ۷ ۳	۱۱ <u>۰</u> ٥ ۷	۷_۲۲ ٥	17.7	17.7.
	Fleeb	۱۱ cm	٦٤,٩١	٦٨ <u>.</u> ٣ ٧	۲ ۲۱۲ ۲	۱۳٫۱ ۰	۱۹ _. ۰ ۱	ו <u>ד</u> ו ו	۱۱ <u>۲</u> ٤	۰ ۱۰ _. ۰	۱۲ _. ۹ ۸	17.47
Dec		۲۰ cm	٥٩	٦٤ <u>.</u> ٢ ٢	۰ ۱۲ <u>۰</u>	15.7	۳_۲۲ ۱	۱۰ <u>۳</u> ۱	۱۰٫۹ ۰	۱۰ <u>۳</u> ۲	۱0 <u>۶</u> ۸	١٣.٠٤
- 1		^ cm	٦0.01	۲۳_٤ ۲	יז ו ו ו	۲۰٫٦ ٥	۰۷ <u>۰</u> ۸	וד <u>ו</u> א	۲۲ <u>۳</u> ۱	וע <u>י</u> ו ד	11.0	١٢_٩٩
	Giza	۱۱ cm	٦٠.٢٥	۲۳ <u>)</u> ۲	۱۷ _. ٥ ٩	۲٥ _. ٦ •	۱۷ <u>.</u> ٤	۳_۸۱ ۲	17.1	۳.۳	۲۲_٤ ۳	١٤.٠٥
	۲۹ cm	٥٩٫٨٣	۷۱ <u>.</u> ۰	۲٤.۷ ۸	۸ ۸۲ 0	۲۰ <u>۰</u> ۵ ۷	۱۹ _. ۷ ٦	٩_٩٣	۱۱ _. ٦ •	۱٤ ₋ ۷ ۳	14.00	
L	L.S.D. at •%		1	٦.٩٩	٩.٦١	٧٦٣	9.20	0.01	٧٩٣	٤٩٨	٧٦٩	٤.0٦

Ali. M. Alazaki and Y. A. A. Al-Shebani

Concerning the effect of (D x V x P) interaction on plant traits, narrowest hill the data in Table (1) showed that planting Giza var. on 1 -October with the lowest plant spacing ($^{.}$ cm) gave the tallest plants (1 and 1 , 1 cm) and the maximum values of straw yield (1 .) and 1 , 1 cm) and the maximum values of straw yield (1 .) and 1 , 1 , 1 gm/plant) in 1 , 1 , 1 . and 1 , 1 . 1 seasons, respectively. With this respect, planting Giza var. on 1 . October under the widest hill spacing (1 . cm) gave the maximum values of number of seeds/plant (1 . 2 and 1 , 1), pods weight/plant (1 . 1 and 1 . 1 g) and seeds weight/plant (1 . 2 and 1 . 1 g/plant) in 1 . 1 . 1 and 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 seasons, respectively.

REFERENCES

- Abdel- Aziz, A. El-Set and Shalaby, F. H. (۱۹۹۹). Physiological studies on response of new released faba bean varieties to different plant populations. Zagazig J. Agric. Res ^{YT} (°) :) YY9-)Y55.
- Abdalla, M. M. F.; Darwish, D.S.; Ali, A. A. and El-Emam, E.A.A. (^Υ···). Investigation on faba bean (*Vicia faba*. L).¹° Variability and clustering of faba bean Land Races. Egypt. J. Plant Breeding ^٤: ^Υ°[∨]-^Υ^Υ^Υ.
- Abdel Latif, Y. I. (* · · ^). Effect · f Seed Size and Plant Spacing on Yield and Yield Components of Faba Bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences, £(*): `! £7-`! £^. Res. J. Agric. Boil Sci.

- Abo El-Zahab, A.A.; Al-Babawy, A.A. and Nidwa, I.S., (۱۹۸۱). Density studies on faba beans (*Vicia faba* L.) II. Growth parameters. J. of Agron. and Crop Sci., ۱۰۰: ۳۰۳-۳۱۲.
- Abo-Shetaia, A.M.A. (1999). Yield and yield components responses of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) to plant density and N P fertilization. Ann. Agric. Sci., Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo Egypt. ^{ro} (1): 1AV-7.5.
- Abou-Taleb, S.M. (^Υ··^Υ). Morphological variation and dry matter distribution in some faba bean cultivars under different sowing dates. Proc of Recent Technologies in Agriculture, Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ., ^{ΥΛ-Υ}· Oct. ^Υ··^Υ, Bull. Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ., Egypt, Vol. (IV): ^Λ^ε^γ-^Λ^Υ^ε.
- Abuldahab, A.A. ; EL-Murshedy, W.A. and Mahmoud, G.O. $(\checkmark \cdot \cdot \curlyvee)$. Phenological response of faba bean to climatological effects under different sowing dates and plant distributions. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., $\curlyvee (\xi)$: $19 \land 9 \Upsilon \cdot \cdot \Upsilon$.
- Ahmed M. El Naim and Abdelrhim A. Jabereldar $(\uparrow \cdot \uparrow \cdot)$. Effect of Plant density and Cultivar on Growth and Yield of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.Walp). Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, $\epsilon(\Lambda)$: $\uparrow \uparrow \epsilon \Lambda_{-} \uparrow \uparrow \circ \uparrow$.
- Al-Rifaee MK. (1999). Effect of seed size and plant population density on yield and yield components of local faba bean.
 M. Sc. Thesis, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan.
- Amer, M.I.; El-Borai, M.A. and Radi, M.M. (۱۹۹۲). Response of three faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) cultivars to three sowing dates under different plant densities in north Delta. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ., ۱۸ (٤): oql_oqq.
- Amin A.N.M. ($\uparrow \uparrow \land \land$). Principles of field cro p s. Basra University Press, p. $\xi \xi \gamma \xi \circ \gamma$.
- Annicchiarico, P. ($\forall \cdot \cdot \circ$). Pea, faba bean and lupin for autumn and late winter sowing. Informatore Agrario., $\forall i (\forall \circ): \forall i = 0$ (C.F. Computer Search).
- Ashmawy, F.; Mehasen, S.A.S. and Mohamed, M.S.A. (۱۹۹۸). The relative contribution of some characters to seed yield in some faba bean varieties grown under three population densities. Bull.Fac. Agric., Cairo Uinv., ٤٩: ٥١٧-٥٣٢.

07٣

- Bae, S.T.; Choi, H.K.; Choi, S.K. and Lee, D.K. (۱۹۸۰). Studies on cultural practices for establishment of broad beans. Research report of the Rural development Administration Gwangu, Korea Republic, ^ү(^ү): ^ү·^γ-^γ)^γ.
- Bakry, B.A.; Elewa, T.A.; El karamany, M.F.; . Zeidan M.S and Tawfik, M.M. (***). Effect of row rpacing on yield and its components of some faba bean Varieties under newly reclaimed sandy soil condition. World J. of Agric. Sci. V (1): 7A-VY. ***
- **Dantuma, G. and Thompson, R.** (\٩^٣). Whole crop physiology and yield components. In: Hebblethwaite, P.D. (ed.), The faba bean (Vicia faba L.). A Basis for Improvement. pp: \?f^m-o^{.}. Butterworths, London, U.K.
- Dawwam, H. A. and Abdel-Aal, S.M. (1991). Variation in some faba bean varieties (Vicia faba L.). Egypt. J. Agron. 17 (7):9170-177.
- El-Fieshawy, M.A. and Fayed, E.H. (144). Seed yield and seed yield components of faba bean as influence by plant spacing and phosphorus fertilizer. Zagazig. J. Agric. Res., 1V (7): $77V_{-}777$.

- El-Metwally, A.M.; Abdalla, M.M.F.; Darwish, D.S. and Waffa Mohamed K. (Υ··Υ). Performance of two faba bean cultivars under different plant distribution patterns. Abstract of Proc. \·th National Conf. Agron., Y-\· Oct., El-Arish, Egypt. Y ٤-Y ° [In Press].
- El-Murabaa, A.I.; Butt, A.M.; Abdel-All, S.A. and Salem, K.B. (1947). Effect of cultivar and date of faba bean performance. I. Cultivars. Assiut J. Agric. Sci. 14 (£): 147-Y. 1.
- **Grenz J.; Manschadi A.M; Uygur F.N. and Sauerborn J.** ($\forall \cdot \cdot \circ$). Effects of environment and sowing date on assimilate competition between faba bean (*Vicia faba*) and the parasitic weed Orobanche crenata. Field Crops Res., $\forall \forall \cdot \cdot \cdot \neg \forall \forall \top$.
- Hassan, M.W.; Said, M.S.; El-Hadi, M.M. and Omer, M.A. (199). Response of new released faba bean varieties to different plant populations in the newly reclaimed land of Nubaria region. Bull. Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., $\xi \wedge (r)$: $\xi \vee r \xi \wedge \xi$.
- Hussein, A.H.A.; El-Deeb, M.A. and El-Yamani, K.H. (*..*). Response of New faba bean genotypes to different sowing dates and plant densities in the newly reclaimed land in upper Egypt. National Ann. Coordination Meeting, ICARDA/EC, Cairo, **-** September I: *.-**.
- Hussein, A. H. A; El-Deeb, M. A; Saleib, S. R. and El Asseily, K.h. (۱۹۹۹). Response of the new faba bean genotypes to different plant densities in the old and newly reclaimed land in Middle and Upper Egypt Arab univ. J. 11 Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ. Y (Υ): ٤٦Υ-٤ΥΥ.
- **Ibrahim, M.E and Esmail, S.E.** (1992). Growth and yield of faba bean plants as affected by the plant densities, phosphorus and iron. Menufiya J. Agric. Res. 19 (0): 7140-7199.
- Kakiuchi J. and Kobata T $(7 \cdot 12)$. Shading and thinning effects on seed and shoot dry matter increase in determinate soybean during the seed filling period. Agron. J. $97: 79A-5 \cdot 9$.
- Karamanos A.J.; Papadopoulos G.; Argoulas C.E. and Papastylianou P. (1995). Chemical composition of seeds of 11 fields grows faba bean cultivars in two cultivation periods. FAB IS ^πε/^πο, p. ^π9-ε^γ.

070

- Khalil, S.A.; Dissouky, R.F.; Amer, M.I.; El-Hady, M.M. and Hassan, M.W.A. (۱۹۹۳). Performance of yield and yield components of two faba bean (*Vicia faba* L..) cultivars as affected by two plant densities and foliar disease control in the new reclaimed land. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., ۱۸ (°): ۱۳.٦-۱۳۱٤.
- **Krarup, H.A.** $(14 \wedge 1)$. The effect of sowing dates and rates on lentil yield components. LENS $(1, p, 1) \wedge 1$.
- Lemerle, D.; Verbeek, B. and Diffey, S. ((\cdots, γ)). Influence of field pea (*Pisum sativum*) density on grain yield and competitiveness with annual rye grass (*Lolim rigidum*) in south-eastern Australia. Australian J. Exper. Agric., $\xi\gamma$: $\chi\xi\gamma\circ\chi\gamma$.
- Li-Juan, L.; Zhuo–Jie, Z.; and Jia–Peng, H. (۱۹۹۳). Faba bean production in China. In: Saxena, M.C., S. Weigand and L. Li–Juan (eds.), Faba bean Production and Research in China. pp: [£]–^o. International Center for Agric. Res. in the Dry Areas (ICARDA), Aleppo, Syria.
- Martin, I.; Tenoria, J.L. and Ayerbe, L. (1992). Yield growth and water use of conventional and semi leafless peas in semiarid environments. Crop Sci. 72, 1077–1047.
- Mathews, P.W.; Armstrong, E.L.; Lisle, C.J.; Menz, I.D.; Shephard, P.L. and Armstrong, B. C. ($\forall \cdot \cdot \land$). The effect of faba bean plant population on yield, seed quality and plant architecture under irrigation in southern NSW. Proceeding of the $\uparrow t$ Australian Agronomy Conference. September, Adelaide South Australia. www.agronomy.org.au.
- McEwen, J.; Yeoman, D P. and Moffitt, R. (۱۹۸۸). Effect of seed rates, sowing dates and methods of sowing on autumn sown field beans (Vicia faba L.). J. Agric. Sci. Cambridge ۱۱۰, p. $r \epsilon \circ r \circ r$.
- Mehdi, D.; Ramroodi, M. and Valizadeh J. (۲۰۱۰). Effect of plant density and cultivars on growth, yield and yield components of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). African Journal of Biotechnology Vol. ۹(۰۰), pp. $\Lambda \exists \xi T - \Lambda \exists \xi V$.
- Metwally, A.A.; shafiK, M.M.; Hassanin. M.A. and Darwish. D.S. (*...). Influence of sowing dates and population densities on performance of some faba bean varieties growing in

newly reclaimed land. J. Ágric. Sci. Mansura Univ., $\gamma \circ (9)$: $\circ \wedge \gamma \circ \circ 99$.

- **Metwally, I.O.E.** ($\uparrow \P \P$). Performance of faba bean as Affected by preceding summer crops, nitrogen levels and plant density. Agric Sci., Mansoura Univ., $\Upsilon(\P)$: $\Upsilon \Psi \P \neg \Upsilon \wedge \Lambda$.
- Mohamed, A.A. (****). Effect of planting date on growth and yield of some faba bean varieties. M. Sc. Thesis, in Agron. Fac. of Agric. Cairo Univ.
- Al-Rifaee, M.; Turk, M. A. and Tawaha, A. M. (*...²). Effect of seed size and plant population density on yield and yield components of local faba bean (*Vicia faba* L. Major). International J. of Agric. & Biology 7 (*), ^Y9[£]-^{Y99}.
- Mokhtar, A. ((\cdots)). Response of yield and yield components of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) to increasing level of nitrogen and phosphorus under two levels of plant stand density. Ann. Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., $\xi (1) : 1\xi r_{-1} \circ \xi$.
- Turk,M. A. and Tawaha, A. M. (ヾ・ヾ). Impact of seeding rate, seeding date, rate and method of phosphorus application in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L. minor) in the absence of moisture stress. Biotechnol. Agron. Soc. Environ, (ヾ), \ヾ)-\ヾA.
- Noffsinger, L.S. and Santen, E. (1990). Yield and yield components of spring- sown white lupin in the southeastern USA. Agron. J. AV, p. 297-29V.
- **Refay, Y.A.** ($\uparrow \cdot \cdot \uparrow$). Effect of planting dates and plant density on two faba bean lines grown under the Central region conditions of Saudi Arabia. Arab Univ. J. of Agric. Sci., $\uparrow(\uparrow): \lor \uparrow \uparrow \urcorner$.
- Selim, M.M. and El-Seesy, M.A.A. (1991). Productivity of faba bean as affected by plant population, phosphorus fertilization and sowing methods. Egypt J. Agron. 17 (1-7): 179-701.
- Khalil, S. K.; Wahab, A.; Rehman, A.; Muhammad, F.; Ahab, S.;
 Khan, A. Z.; Zubair, M.; Kalan Shah. M.; Khalil, I. H. and Amin, R. (۲۰۱۰). Density and planting date influence phonological development assimilate partitioning and dry matter production of faba bean. Pak. J. Bot., ٤٢(٦): ٣٨٣١- ٣٨٣٨.
- Shafik, J.; Saed, M.S. and Mustafa, M. (1919). Effect of between row and plant spacing on some growth characters, yield and

07V

its components in two broad bean varieties. Field Crop Abst. $\xi\gamma$: 191%.

- Shahein, A.H.; Agwah, E.M.R. and El-shami, H.A. (1990). Effect of plant density as well as nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer rate on growth, green pods and dry seed yield and quality of broad bean. Ann of Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, *TT* (1): *TV*1-*TAA*.
- Singh, S.P.; Singh, N.P. and Panilety, R.K. (۱۹۹۴). Performance of faba bean varieties at different plant densities. FABIS ^w, p. ^{Y9_w}.
- Steel, R.G.D. and Torrie, J.H. (1911). Principles and procedures of statistics. New-York: Mc Graw-Hill Book Company.
- **Stringi, L.R.G.; sarno, M. Amato and Gristina, L.** (1947). Effect of row spacing on *Vicia faba* L. minor in semi-arid environment in Southern Italy, FABES, 10: $\xi Y \xi o$.
- **Talal Thalji**, (\checkmark , \checkmark). Impacts of row spacing on faba bean L. growth under Mediterranean rainfed conditions. Journal of Agronomy $\circ(\urcorner): \circ \lor \lor \circ \circ \urcorner$.
- **Tawaha A.R.M. and Turk M.A.** $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \uparrow a)$. Effect of date and rate of sowing on yield and yield components of narbon vetch under semi-arid condition. Acta Agron. Hung. $\xi \uparrow (\uparrow)$, p. $1 \cdot 7 1 \cdot \circ$.
- **Tawaha A.A.M. and Turk M.A.** ($\checkmark \cdots \checkmark b$). Crop-weed competition studies in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) under rainfed conditions. Acta Agron. Hung. $\pounds \P$ (\curlyvee), $\Upsilon \P \P = \varPsi \cdot \heartsuit$.
- Turk, M.A. and Tawaha, A.R.M. $(\check{\ \cdot \ \cdot \ })$. Effect of dates of sowing and seed size on yield and yield components of local faba bean under semi-arid conditions. Legume Res., $\check{\ \prime \ \circ }(\xi)$: $\check{\ \prime \ \cdot \ }_{$
- Zeidan, E.M.; El-Naggar, E.M.; Makhloof, M.I. and Ghanem, S.A. (۱۹۹۰). The influence of planting methods, plant densities and weeds control treatments on seed yield and its quality of faba bean. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., ۱۷ (°): ۱۰۷۹-۱۰۹۲.

0٦٨

Response of faba bean (vicia faba l.) varieties to planting dates and hill spacing الاختلافات في صفات النمو ومكونات المحصول لصنفين من الفول (Vicia faba, L.) في الاستجابة للمواعيد والمسافات يين الجور

علي محمد العزكي ويوسف أحمد عبد الله الشيباني * * قسم المحاصيل والمراعي - كلية الزراعة - جامعة صنعاء - اليمن

أقيمت تجربتان حقليتان في المزرعة التعليمية التابعة لكلية الزراعة – جامعة صنعاء خلال موسمي ٢٠٠٩ – ٢٠١٠و ٢٠١٠- ٢٠١١ لدراسة تأثير أربعة مواعيد زراعية (٣١ أكتوبر و ٢١ نوفمبر و ١١ ديسمبر و ٣١ ديسمبر) والتي خصصت لها القطع الرئيسية وصنفين من الفول (فليب وجيزة) وزعت في القطع المنشقة وثلاث مسافات بين الجور (٨ و ١١ و ١٦ سم بين الجور) وزّعت في القطع تحت المنشقة، على صفات النمو ومكونات محصول الفول. وتتلخص أهم نتائج الدراسة للموسمين فيما يلي:

بصفة عامة أظهرت النتائج المتحصل عليها أن مواعيد الزراعة والمسافة بين الجور والمسافات الزراعية أثرت تأثيراً معنوياً على جميع الصفات المدروسة في كلا موسمي الزراعة. كما تشير النتائج المتحصل عليها إن الزراعة المبكرة لنباتات الفول (٣١ أكتوبر) أدت إلى ظهور زيادة معنوية في صفات النمو (الوزن الجاف للنبات وعدد الأوراق وعدد الأفرع لكل نبات وارتفاع النبات) وصفات مكونات المحصول (عدد البذور لكل نبات ومحصول القرون والقش والبذور لكل نبات) في الموسم الأول والثاني من الزراعة. وفيما يتعلق بتأثير الأصناف على الصفات المدروسة، فإن النتائج المتحصل عليها تشير إلى أن بالأصناف قد أثرت تأثيراً معنوياً على صفتي الوزن الجاف للنبات وعدد الأوراق. ومنا بنان والقش والبذور لكل نبات) في الموسم الأول والثاني من الزراعة. وفيما الأصناف قد أثرت تأثيراً معنوياً على صفتي الوزن الجاف للنبات فقط في المرحلة الأولى من النمو (بعد ٦٥ يوم من الزراعة) وعدد البذور لكل نبات في كلا موسمي الزولى بالإضافة إلى عدد الأوراق لكل نبات في الموسم الأول من الزراعة، والزراعة، الأولى بالإضافة إلى عدد الأوراق لكل نبات في الموسم الأول من الزراعة، الأولى الزاعة، الأولى

ومن ناحية أخرى أدت زراعة نباتات الفول على مسافة بين الجور / ٨ سم إلى الحصول على أطول النباتات في موسمي الزراعة وأعلى قيمة لعدد الأوراق ولعدد الأفرع لكل نبات ولمحصول القش لكل نبات في الموسم الأول والثاني من الزراعة. وعلى العكس من ذلك فان زراعة نباتات الفول في جور ١٦ سم بين النباتات قد أدت إلى الحصول على

079

أعلى القيم لكل من صفات عدد البذور لكل نبات ومحصول القرون لكل نبات ومحصول البذور لكل نبات في موسمي الزراعة.

كما توضح النتائج المتحصل عليها أيضاً إلى أن جميع التفاعلات بين عوامل الدراسة (مواعيد الزراعة × الأصناف) وبين (مواعيد الزراعة × المسافات بين الجور) و بين (الأصناف × المسافات بين الجور) وبين (مواعيد الزراعة × الأصناف × المسافات بين الجور) قد أثرت تأثيراً معنوياً على جميع الصفات المدروسة في كلا الموسمين.

كما تشير النتائج إلي أن أعلي محصول للبذور والقرون وعدد البذور لكل نبات تم الحصول عليها بزراعة الصنف جيزة في ٣١ أكتوبر تحت المسافة النباتية ١٦ سم في الموسم الأول والثاني من الزراعة، في حين تم الحصول على أعلى القيم لصفة الوزن الجاف للنبات من زراعة نباتات الصنف فليب تحت نفس الموعد الزراعي والمسافة بين الجور في الموسم الأول والثاني من الزراعة. كما أدت زراعة نباتات الصنف جيزة في نهاية أكتوبر (٣١ أكتوبر) تحت استخدام المسافة بين الجور ٨ سم إلى الحصول على أعلى القيم لصفات عدد الأوراق وعدد الأفرع لكل نبات وارتفاع النبات ومحصول القش لكل نبات في موسمي الزراعة.